

to the Democratic assemblage—no small undertaking these days. Without security and safe passage, there is no true right of assembly.

Regrettably, others were bent on disrupting the Democratic assemblage—for a variety of reasons. Good citizens, those who cherish constitutional government and respect the rights of others, would have voluntarily refrained from any disruption of the Democratic Convention.

Those who tried to interfere and destroy the right of the Democrats to assemble, should have been deterred. To maintain security in face of guerrilla and provocative disruptions requires extraordinary force. It is miraculous that no policeman or delegate was killed in Chicago.

Some anarchists planned for months to disrupt the Chicago convention—and to taunt and trouble all who stood in their way. These people hardly deserve the rights and privileges of a free society, because they are bent on destroying it.

All citizens and officials who desire to maintain free representative government and an open parliamentary system, must not only work to preserve and improve the system, but we must also refuse to tolerate those who by their speech, actions, and conduct seek to destroy or disrupt the system.

#### **RESOLUTION CONDEMNING MILITARY OCCUPATION OF CZECHOSLOVAKIA BY FORCES OF THE SOVIET UNION, EAST GERMANY, POLAND, HUNGARY, AND BULGARIA**

(Mr. HALL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, today, I have been joined by several of my colleagues in the House, in introducing a House concurrent resolution condemning the military occupation of Czechoslovakia by forces of the Soviet Union, East Germany, Poland, Hungary, and Bulgaria. This is an act of brutal aggression against the people of Czechoslovakia in a manner reminiscent of the tactics employed by Nazi Germany immediately prior to the outbreak of World War II.

This act of aggression by the Soviet Union and its satellites clearly was intended to repress a growing spirit of freedom in a nation which was just beginning to grope its way back to freedom after years as a captive nation, and years of subjugation to hard-line Communist tyranny.

There can be no question but that the military forces of the Soviet Union and its pawns among the Warsaw Pact nations, are stationed within the borders of this unhappy land without any legal basis and contrary to the wishes of the established government and the people of Czechoslovakia. They are there to impose compliance with the demands of the leaders of the Kremlin and to stifle even the limited freedom of the press and of political expression that were beginning to emerge.

The resolution we have submitted expresses the sense of the Congress that the President should take such steps as

may be necessary to condemn the Soviet Union and its satellites for their military occupation of Czechoslovakia, and to impose economic and political sanctions against the Soviet Union and its satellites for their brutal, unlawful, and immoral suppression of Czechoslovakian freedom and sovereignty.

In addition we believe the United States should press for imposition of similar sanctions by the United Nations. Such sanctions have unwisely been imposed against the little country of Rhodesia which threatens none of its neighbors. Surely the crimes committed by the Soviet Union against one of its neighbors including the raw use of military occupation troops and tanks constitutes an infinitely greater crime and an infinitely greater threat to world peace. I hope that many more of my colleagues will join in this effort and I urge speedy action by the Congress in adopting this resolution.

#### **IN DEFENSE OF THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT AND MAYOR DALEY**

The SPEAKER. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. PUCINSKI] is recognized for 60 minutes.

(Mr. PUCINSKI asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mr. PUCINSKI. Mr. Speaker, a great deal has been said about what happened in Chicago last week, and there has been considerable criticism about the steps taken by the administration in order to assure that a national convention could be held; that the rights of the delegates attending the convention would be secure and the citizens of that community were properly protected.

Mr. Speaker, I believe that Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas laid down the legal and moral philosophy that must apply to demonstrations that erupt into violence when he said:

A demonstrator may be motivated by the highest moral principles. He may in time be right in the eyes of history, or morality or philosophy, these are not controlling. It is the State's duty to arrest and punish those who violate the laws designed to protect private safety and public order.

That is exactly what the mayor of Chicago and the Chicago Police Department and all the other responsible officials of that city did—nothing less and nothing more.

Mayor Daley recognized his responsibilities as the chief executive of that city. He had ample notice, ample warning, and ample intelligence that there were those who were determined to make sure that the convention never occurred in Chicago, and that one of the highest institutions of our democracy—the nominating process for the Presidency—would never come off.

Mr. Speaker, as we go along this afternoon we will develop these points and we will prove that, thank God, we had a Mayor Daley, and thank God that we had a Chicago Police Department of dedicated men.

I submit to my colleagues on this floor that I doubt this convention could have

been held if it had occurred in any other city than Chicago and I doubt very much if other communities could have risen to the challenge with the same fortitude and determination that Mayor Daley and the Chicago Police Department did. There were people in this country who were determined at all costs that this convention would not be held. They were determined that the nominating process must not come off, and they were determined that this country must be thrown into such complete chaos and turmoil that the hippies on Michigan Avenue are going to set the foreign policy of America, and the will of 200 million Americans is to be frustrated by their tactics.

So I say to my colleagues that this Nation owes Mayor Daley and the Chicago Police Department a tremendous debt of gratitude.

Mr. Speaker, I believe television networks did Chicago and its people a disastrous disservice last week during coverage of the National Democratic Convention and it is my hope that those responsible will take every step possible to redress this outrageous and unfair television coverage as quickly as possible.

The very distinguished Chicago television critic, Paul Malloy, writing in this morning's Chicago Sun-Times, puts into perspective what television did not tell and describes the injustice committed against Chicago by network television news.

Mr. Malloy said:

The International Amphitheatre is back to normal, presumably, but it will be quite some time before the same can be said for Chicago's reputation as a convention city—thanks to television.

The networks, as almost everyone knows by now, emphasized by comment and by camera the police clubbing and tear-gassing of the demonstrators. It is an ugly sight, of course, to see an officer strike down a young man. But I think it is an equally ugly sight to see a young man strike down an officer.

What was not stressed during the showing of those heart-wrenching films were the repeated promises by outsiders to turn the city upside down by harassing the police, invade the hotels and the Amphitheatre and attempt to halt the convention.

#### **NO COMMENT ON INJURED POLICE**

I did not hear any commentator offer any thoughts on some of the outsiders' threats that "filth will be revered" and "there will be fornication in the streets." I did not hear the commentators deplore the fact that well over 100 Chicago policemen were hospitalized, some of them seriously.

I heard no one credit the Chicago police for the fact that not one shot was fired and that there were no deaths.

The cameras showed the police giving the rioters what-for, but what I did not see were pictures of Chicago policemen being hit by bricks and bottles and other objects. Perhaps I missed it but I did not see pictures of policemen being splashed with human excrement as was reported.

I did not hear any commentator reflect on what it must feel like for a policeman to be struck in the teeth or on the skull by a rock or a golf ball implanted with nails.

I did not hear any commentator show anger at the tauntings and the obscenities hurled at the policemen.

Vice President Hubert H. Humphrey says he saw a policeman get "stabbed in the face with a broken beer bottle, cutting his eyes, his face, for no reason at all." But television apparently did not see this, or similar scenes of brutality.

The Sun-Times on Sunday carried a picture of Patrolman Gregory Kyritz in his bed at Mercy Hospital. He is among those who got it in the face with a brick. I saw no such picture on television.

True, most of the demonstrators were not anarchists and were sincere about wanting to see a halt to the war in Vietnam. But that should not have prevented television from showing those dunder-heads defiantly parading the enemy flag.

I heard no commentator worry about the danger in which many policemen found themselves, or concern himself with the fact that these men have wives and children at home who don't relish the prospect of becoming widows and orphans, and that losing one's eyesight is no fun, even if it is lost in the defense of the city, its citizens and its visitors.

I did not see the television networks send their correspondents to the various hospitals to interview the injured policemen. It seems to me there would have been some interesting stories there—and interesting pictures for the nation to see, and ponder on.

#### HORRID IMPRESSION

Television showed, live, pictures of a smiling Mayor Daley on the convention floor, followed by riot pictures that had been taped 45 minutes to an hour before. One may imagine the horrid impression this left with viewers who did not know of the prior taping.

Mayor Daley has been severely criticized and investigations are being demanded of the conduct of the Chicago police. Fine. But I think it is high time that investigations be made of those outside, left-wing, anarchist group leaders who came here purposely to practice a mini-revolution in preparation for the larger revolution they have vowed to bring about.

Mr. Speaker, I agree with Mr. Malloy's conclusion in calling for an investigation of those outside leftwing anarchist group leaders who came to Chicago to practice riot and revolution.

I have called on Attorney General Ramsey Clark to take whatever action is necessary to seek Federal indictments against those leaders who crossed State lines to incite the rioting in Chicago and try them under the law that we passed here in Congress earlier this year which makes it a Federal crime to cross a State line to incite or participate in a riot.

This act clearly provides in title I, chapter 102, as follows:

[Concerning Civil Rights Act of 1968, Public Law 90-284, signed into law Apr. 11, 1968]

#### TITLE I, CHAPTER 102, DEALING WITH RIOTS

102-A-1 Whoever travels in inter-state or foreign commerce, or uses any facility of inter-state or foreign commerce including, but not limited to, the mail, telegraph, telephone, radio or television, with intent—

- (A) to incite a riot; or
- (B) to organize, promote, encourage, participate in, or carry on a riot; or
- (C) to commit any act of violence in furthering of a riot; or
- (D) to aid or abet any person in inciting, or participating in, or carrying on a riot, or committing any act of violence in furtherance of a riot;

and who, either during the course of any such travel or use or thereafter, performs or attempts to perform, any other overt act for any purpose specified in Sub-paragraph (A), (B), (C), or (D) of this paragraph, shall be fined not more than \$10,000, or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both.

I say, Mr. Speaker, when the Justice Department concludes its investigation of those who were there to incite this riot, the Attorney General of the United States has no alternative but to prosecute these people under the Federal law.

Let me cite to you from this morning's Chicago Tribune an article by Robert Wiedrich.

He says:

#### TOWNE TICKET

(By Robert Wiedrich)

Now it can be told: A secret tape recording made exactly a week ago yesterday by an undercover man gave authorities convincing evidence that the Yippie leaders intended to tear Chicago apart, no matter what the cost in lives and property. On that Tuesday morning, some of the anti-war leaders gathered in a southside building to discuss the previous night's confrontation with police in Lincoln park. The conversation went something like this: "These Chicago cops are soft. If that had been New York cops, they'd have busted our heads. It's gonna be easy to take these coppers and this town apart."

It was this information that prompted the show of force that night in Lincoln park and again on Wednesday in Grant park. It was this information that finally convinced even the most charitable that this was no peaceful group that would pelt Chicago with flowers.

Mr. Speaker, this was no group of idealists who came to Chicago to plead a cause and exercise their right of dissent.

Every one of us in this Chamber holds sacred the right of Americans to the right of dissent and the right of free speech. But I think it is high time that we identified these people for what they were—archconspirators using Chicago as the basis for an internal revolution.

Let me cite to you an excerpt from a column written by the distinguished columnist Drew Pearson, which appeared in the Chicago Daily News:

In fairness to President Johnson it should be noted that he had intelligence reports that young Communists and Yippies in Chicago planned to whip up the debate over Vietnam in order to influence the truce talks in Paris. This was the reason the State Department spokesman issued a warning to North Vietnamese delegates in Paris to stay out of American politics and get on with the talks.

One intelligence report received in Washington and passed on to the President stated that American Communists were quarreling over tactics; that Donald Hamerquist, the party's co-ordinator, argued that street violence would adversely effect Sen. Eugene McCarthy's chances at Chicago.

But the new Left, according to the intelligence report, wanted to rampage in the streets and force a confrontation with the police. The repercussions around the world, they argued, would demonstrate the strength of peace forces and help North Vietnamese negotiations in Paris.

This was exactly what happened. The militants staged a peace rally that was never intended to be peaceful. They waved red flags, shouted obscenities and deliberately provoked clashes in front of TV cameras.

In an editorial the Chicago Sun-Times, in pointing out those who incited the riot, also called for an investigation and action at the Federal level. But my colleagues, if there is any question of the intent of those who traveled hundreds of miles to come to Chicago, crossing State

lines, about tearing that city apart, let us look at the August 19 edition of the very highly respected business weekly known, Barron's. In the August 19 edition of that publication, a week before the convention, Mr. William Good and Mr. Jeffrey St. John wrote: "Ballots or Bullets? Radical Leftists May Trigger Violence Next Week in Chicago."

This article, very detailed, pointed out the blueprint of what the hippies had in mind for Chicago.

I say, Mr. Speaker, where were the pundits, where were these self-styled national commentators who should have read the Barron's article before the convention. If anyone overreacted, it was they who overreacted to the incident at Balboa and Michigan. Certainly it occurs to me that men who came to cover a big convention like this should have a full picture of the perimeter and all the probabilities.

All of these national commentators were placed on notice as to what plans these agitators had. The Barron's article points out:

The rough blueprint for disruption at the Democratic Convention was spelled out at a June 29 press conference, in New York City, held by the Fifth Avenue Parade Committee. On hand to launch the Chicago-bound National Mobilization Committee (MOB) was its chairman—and leader of the bloody October 1967 March on the Pentagon—David Dellinger, who likes to call himself a non-Soviet Communist. Present, too, was Tom Hayden, a founder of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), who, between and since trips to Hanoi (with fellow traveling Yale Professor Staughton Lynd and U.S. Communist theoretician Herbert Aptheker), has led a number of the SDS street scenes.

In light of this type of information, certainly the mayor of Chicago had every right in the world to take the step he did when they were sending up these signals stating what they planned to do. But the commentators never mentioned this. For these commentators in Chicago to show in 20 or 30 seconds segments only the most bizarre picture and not give the full facts, in my judgment, is an abuse of a responsibility.

Damon Runyon once said that an irresponsible reporter at a typewriter is more dangerous than a drunk doctor in an operating room. I submit to the Members that some of those reporting what happened in Chicago last week reached the very zenith of irresponsibility in American journalism, because on June 29, Mr. Dellinger and Mr. Tom Hayden, a founder of the Students for Democratic Society, and Jerry Rubin, and various others clearly stated what they planned to do and what their plans were during the Democratic Convention, and these very plans were carried out almost to the letter.

Mr. Rubin headed up the Yippies as well as the Students for Democratic Society, and he said time and again their plan was to tear up the convention and see that the convention never took off. These people arrogantly boasted there would be no convention in Chicago.

I say to the Members, as fair and honest people, what should the city of Chi-